```
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
1
2
                     DISTRICT OF NEVADA
 3
       THE HONORABLE JAMES C. MAHAN, JUDGE PRESIDING
 4
 5
    PHASE II CHIN,
 6
    LLC, et al.,
7
           Plaintiffs,
8
                              NO. 2:08-CV-0162-JCM-GWF
    VS.
9
    FORUM SHOPS, LLC,
                             PARTIAL MOTION HEARING
10
            Defendant.
                                    (Argument of
11
                               Harold Gewerter, Esq.)
12
13
           REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
14
                  THURSDAY, MARCH 12, 2009
15
                         10:00 A.M.
16
17
    APPEARANCES:
18
    For the Plaintiffs:
                            HAROLD P. GEWERTER, ESQ.
19
                            JEROLD FAGELBAUM, ESQ.
                            C. STANLEY HUNTERTON, ESQ.
2.0
                            PAMELA R. LAWSON, ESQ.
21
    For the Defendant:
                            CHARLES McCREA, JR., ESQ.
22
                            SAMUEL S. LIONEL, ESQ.
                            STEVE MORRIS, ESQ.
23
24
25
    Reported by: Joy Garner, CCR 275
                     —JOY GARNER, CCR 275 —
```

LAS VEGAS, NEVADA (702)384-3188

```
Official Federal Court Reporter
 1
 2
 3
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
                       — JOY GARNER, CCR 275 —
```

ever an one
an one
an one
two.
ch. I
ЭΥ
club
cale
cale
ps
ps
ops is the
_

of not being an upscale nightclub because it
caters to black patrons. And that's the gist of
the 1981 allegations here, but they don't come
out and say that we don't want minorities in
there because you can't say it, although they
have done everything else but say it with their
actions.

2.0

What have they done? Every time, or the majority of times, there is a problem where an altercation occurs outside of the Forum -- I'm sorry, outside of the Poetry in the Forum area in Caesar's Palace, and there was two black gentlemen who had a disagreement or an altercation. And what does Forum Caesar's do? They yell to those people from Poetry and they're wrong. They just make that assumption because they don't want black patrons in their establishment.

And this case was well pled. In fact, let me give you a couple of instances without going through the whole complaint because that would take hours. On the eve of -- Christmas Eve of 2004, there was a party at my client's premises. At that time it was a Jewish singles club, a private party. There wasn't a

single black person on the premises that night.

There wasn't one. They had to allege there was

one, and my client states in the complaint there

wasn't one.

2.0

There was an altercation outside my client's premises between two black gentlemen. What's the first thing that gets yelled at by Forum, that gets yelled at by Caesar's? It's those people at Poetry, OPM, if it wasn't for them, we wouldn't have those problems. That' a false statement which has racial animus, racial hatred. They want to blame every problem they have on Poetry.

Another instance we cite, there's an altercation in Caesar's parking lot where two gentlemen, African-Americans, get into an altercation. What's the first thing Caesar's yells -- the one that says there's no contract with my client -- it's those people at Poetry. There's not one shred of evidence that those individuals were patrons at Poetry that evening. In fact, they didn't even have the proper dress code and my client would not have let them in that night.

We can go on, and on, and on

through all of these situations, and there's numerous instances here which have been well pled. We then hear that, gee, after being here three years, we don't have a lease over here. In essence, we heard Mr. McCrea on behalf of Forum say that my client is a squatter at the premises because you don't have a proper sublease because subleases aren't allowed in our lease. That is a disingenuous and false statement of fact and law.

2.0

amendment to the lease between Forum Shops and between Chinois. The amendment to the lease at the insistence of Forum Shops provided for an after-hours club at the premises where Chinois is. They can go to 6:00 a.m. There is an amendment to that lease. My client does not have a sublease. It has a management agreement.

Three years after being there, they want to mischaracterize it and say, oh, by the way, we don't have a -- as a matter of fact, now after taking your money for three years, we're going to change this into a sublease because that would violate the master lease. That fails for two reasons. It fails for the first reason because they approved it. Forum

```
1
    Shops in correspondence and in written writing on
2
    Forum Shops' letterhead approved the management
3
    agreement with my client, Poetry.
4
                      For them to come here today and
5
    say, oh, gee, you are here and there's a
6
    sublease, oh, my God, you just snuck one by us,
7
    is an absolutely false statement of fact and
8
    belies their own written correspondence. And,
9
    more importantly, call it what you want, they
10
    approved it. Who cares what it's called?
11
    They've approved it in writing. They've approved
12
    it verbally. They attended the opening of my
13
    client's premises. They've been there several
14
    times.
15
                      What they don't like is and what
16
    they say wasn't told to them, by the way, when
17
    you went in there, is you didn't tell us you were
18
    going to have black patrons. And so it's those
19
    black people that cause all the problems we have
2.0
    over here, which is false statement, and it's a
21
    racially motivated statement. For example,
22
    paragraph --
23
              THE COURT: Well, I mean I've read all
24
    of that, Mr. Gewerter.
```

MR. GEWERTER: Okay, I'll get to the

25

```
1
    standing issue which is the big issue then.
2
    standing issue here really is also a red herring.
3
    First of all, this motion to dismiss and this
4
    motion for summary judgment, I think they are
5
    going to accept that.
              THE COURT: But I mean even with that,
6
7
    there's really not enough here for the Court to
8
    judge. I mean here's some papers --
9
              MR. GEWERTER:
                              That's correct.
10
              THE COURT: -- and there's just not
11
    enough that I can do it.
12
              MR. GEWERTER: And in the Thinket case
13
    that they rely upon, Thinket does give standing
14
    to a corporation, and Thinket does say, if the
15
    corporation suffers discrimination under Section
16
    1981 or has acquired an imputed racial identity,
17
    it may maintain a cause of action.
18
              THE COURT: But I mean that goes back
19
    to the Sullivan case, the United States Supreme
2.0
    Court case.
21
              MR. GEWERTER:
                             That's correct, and all
22
    those cases they're all progeny up to the
23
    Sullivan.
24
              THE COURT: Yeah, Sullivan versus The
```

Little Hunting Park at 396 U.S. 229 from 1969.

25

```
MR. GEWERTER: But Mr. Morris cites the
1
2
    Domino's case. What he doesn't read in the
3
    Domino's case -- and I'll just read one
4
    sentence -- any claim under Section 1981 must
5
    initially identify an apparent contractual
6
    relationship under which the plaintiff has
7
            Such a contractual relationship need not
    rights.
8
    already exist because Section 1981 protects
9
    would-be contractors along with those who have
10
    already made contracts.
11
                      We made it clear, and they cite
12
    some other cases. You have 1981 racially
13
    motivated cause of action when you prevent an
14
    individual who seeks to enter into contractual
15
    relationships. You don't need an existing
16
    relationship. The patrons, that is the customers
17
    that go into Poetry have a contractual
18
    relationship with Poetry for the free enjoyment,
19
    free association, and whatever they do at Poetry.
2.0
                      That is what Caesar's is
21
    interfering with and that is what Forum Shops is
22
    interfering with. For them to say that it's only
23
    the contract with Caesar's and, therefore, we
24
    don't have a contract with Caesar's is a false
25
    statement of law. And it's not the holding of
```

```
the Domino's case. The United States Supreme

Court stated otherwise in a case that was

recently submitted to your Honor last week, a

case that came out of the United States District

Court, District of Maryland, Yesteryear versus

Waldorf Restaurants.
```

2.0

THE COURT: You submitted that. I had that. I've got that, but Domino's -- I thought you were going to give me the Domino's statement, we've never retreated from what should be obvious from reading the text of the statute. Section 1981 offers relief when racial discrimination blocks the creation of a contractual relationship as well as when racial discrimination impairs an existing contractual relationship so long as the plaintiff has or would have rights under existing or proposed contractual relationship.

MR. GEWERTER: I was getting there, your Honor.

THE COURT: And I mean so I've read it all and both sides briefed it very well, but it just -- we're at the pleading stage. It's notice pleading. I haven't changed my mind.

MR. GEWERTER: Well, I appreciate that, your Honor. I don't want any silence to be

```
1
    construed as --
2
              THE COURT: I'm not trying to cut you
3
    off for any reason other than, you know, you're
4
    preaching to the choir at this point.
5
              MR. GEWERTER: Well, then I will sit
6
    down and stop preaching to the choir, your Honor.
7
              THE COURT: I just haven't heard
8
    anything to change my mind. We're at the
9
    pleading stage. It's notice pleading, and so the
10
    defendants are on notice, and I realize some of
11
    the arguments they made today I'm going to see
12
    again on summary judgment. And the decision may
13
    be, to be candid, may be different on summary
14
    judgment. I mean I don't know.
                                    I don't know
15
    what the facts of the case are, but I just think
16
    there's enough here that it survives a motion to
17
    dismiss.
18
              MR. GEWERTER: May I ask for the motion
19
    to strike?
2.0
              THE COURT: Absolutely, yeah.
21
              MR. GEWERTER: That's just a red
22
    herring to hide up the reality of the racial
    animus, your Honor.
23
24
              THE COURT: I mean you can say that,
25
    but that's denied as well. I'm not going to
```

```
1
    characterize it that way.
2
               MR. GEWERTER: Thank you. With that,
3
    your Honor, I only took ten minutes, and I know
4
    you have another hearing, your Honor.
 5
 6
 7
           (Whereupon, Mr. Gewerter concluded.)
8
9
10
11
12
                       I hereby certify that pursuant
    to Section 753, Title 28, United States Code, the
13
    foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the
    stenographically reported proceedings held in the
14
    above-entitled matter.
15
                                    /s/ Joy Garner
JOY GARNER, CCR 275
16
    Date: March 18, 2009
17
                                    U.S. Court Reporter
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```